



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/339,325	06/23/1999	YOAV SHOHAM	003660.P001X	2458

7590

05/17/2002

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER

YOUNG, JOHN L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3622

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/339,325

Applicant(s)
Shoham et al.

Examiner
John Young

Group Art Unit
2162



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John Young

(3) _____

(2) Andre Gibbs

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 25, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, and 22

Identification of prior art discussed:

Franklin et al., US 6,055,518

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative proffered that the Franklin reference fails to either disclose or suggest or provide motivation for the "bid transformer" element of claims 1, 15 & 22. The Examiner invited the Applicant's representative to file a response, possibly a request for reconsideration pointing out the issues concerning the "bid transformer" element in relation to the cited prior art. The Examiner advised Applicant's representative that the Examiner would have to conduct an update search that may develop additional prior art based on Applicant's response/request for consideration and arguments concerning the "bid transformer."

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

John Young
4-25-2002